




Grey Sauble
CONSERVATION

POTTAWATOMI RIVER

2018 Watershed Health Review





STREAM HEALTH:
GOOD



B

FOREST CONDITIONS:
FAIR



B

WETLAND CONDITIONS:
EXCELLENT



A

GROUNDWATER INFORMATION:
INSUFFICIENT DATA



—

POTTAWATOMI RIVER

Watershed Health Review

8,701ha
WATERSHED AREA



294 ha
GSCA LANDS



8 PRIVATELY OWNED DAMS




2,193
POPULATION



1 SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT


MUNICIPALITIES


- City of Owen Sound
- Township of Georgian Bluffs



SOILS

- Silty Clay
- Clay Loam
- Fine To Moderately Coarse Sandy Loam
- Organic
- Coarse Sandy Loam And Loamy Sand
- Medium To Moderately Fine Loam
- Silty Loam
- Breypen
- Other







B STREAM
HEALTH:
GOOD

TREND:
STABLE



GRADING CHART:

A: EXCELLENT

B: GOOD

C: FAIR

D: POOR

F: VERY POOR

**INSUFFICIENT
DATA**

STREAM HEALTH

Overall, surface water quality of the Pottawatomoni River received an “B” letter grade, indicating good water quality. There is another water quality monitoring station within this watershed but only the one closest to the outlet is used for scoring. This station is located within Owen Sound at the Henderson Bridge on 14th St. West. Water quality data has been collected at this site since 1970, but only the last 15 years have been reviewed. Total Phosphorus values* have stayed consistent throughout the 15-year monitoring period, with results indicating levels just below the objective of 0.030 mg/l. It is important to continue to monitor this parameter as there is growing concern throughout the Great Lakes Basin over harmful algal blooms which are caused by high phosphorus loading. Agricultural runoff, golf course and residential fertilizers, as well as failing septic systems are all potential point sources that could increase Phosphorus levels. Landowners are urged to continue implementing Best Management Practices (BMP's) to maintain or improve current results.

Fecal bacteria (E. coli) monitoring began in 2011, and since that time values** remain just below the 100-count level. This Provincial target level is used to determine if beaches are safe for swimming. High E. coli counts are common after large rain events when manure from farm fields enters waterways or at sites with high waterfowl numbers.



Benthic invertebrates are small aquatic animals that live on the bottom of streams. These communities are excellent indicators of stream health because they are very sensitive to changes in environmental conditions.

The Family Biotic Index (FBI) was the index used to determine this stream health grade, however GSCA also uses the BioMAP Index, which is a more holistic index for stream health (presented on Page 13 along with more chemistry results). The FBI Index scores the benthic community as an B grade, which is down from the previous "A" grade. Unfortunately, only one sample was used for the past 5-year period. Additional years of sampling may have produced a better average value. The BioMAP Index also scored the last 5 years lower as a "C" which was down from the "B" grade of the previous reports.

The below table shows the results for the three parameters that count towards the report card grade. Sample size is represented by "n".

INDICATORS	2003-2007	2008-2012	2013-2017	GUIDELINE	INDICATOR DESCRIPTION
Total Phosphorus (mg/L)*	0.027 B (n=40)	0.027 B (n=35)	0.026 B (n=40)	0.030 B Aquatic Life	Phosphorus is found in products such as fertilizer and detergents, and contributes to excess algal growth which creates low oxygen in streams and lakes.
Bacteria (# per 100 mL)**	N/A	83.32 B (n=15)	99.19 B (n=40)	100 B Recreation	E.coli is a fecal coliform bacteria found in human and animal waste. It is a strong indicator of the potential to have organisms present that could harm human health.
Benthic Score FBI Index***	N/A	3.67 A (n=3)	4.72 B (n=1)	<5.00 B Target Only	Benthic macroinvertebrates are small aquatic animals that live at the bottom of streams. These organisms are good indicators of water quality and are commonly used to diagnose watershed health.

*75th percentile, MECP PWQMN data. Grades based on Conservation Ontario standards (2017). **Geometric mean, GSCA data. ***Average. Grades based on Conservation Ontario standards (2017).



B **FOREST
CONDITIONS:
EXCELLENT**

**TREND:
NO TREND**



GRADING CHART:

A: EXCELLENT

B: GOOD

C: FAIR

D: POOR

F: VERY POOR

**INSUFFICIENT
DATA**


FOREST CONDITIONS

Forest cover was calculated using up-to-date aerial imagery and applying Geographic Information Systems (GIS) mapping and analysis techniques. It takes 20 or more years for trees to become large enough to count towards the watershed report card grades.

This map shows overall forest cover, interior forest, and riparian forests throughout the watershed. There is good forest cover throughout the northern portions of the watershed with much less coverage in the southern half. This is due to the major land use in the southern half of this watershed being agriculture. Tree cover is generally limited to poorly drained swamp areas and areas that are difficult to clear for row crops and livestock pasture. Overall, Forest Cover receives a “B” grade and would score higher if there were more riparian areas with tree cover.

Tree planting is one of the simplest ways to improve all forest cover. Efforts could be made to improve forest connectivity and riparian cover. Currently, Grey Sauble Conservation Authority (GSCA) has planted 16 hectares in this watershed. There are 4 GSCA properties in the Pottawatomi River watershed, totaling 238.59 ha of forest.

Riparian and interior forests provide important habitats and wildlife corridors, making this a key area for conservation efforts and habitat protection. Forests Ontario, Alternative Land Use Services (ALUS) and GSCA have programs that provide subsidies and incentives for landowners to plant more trees. GSCA also assists landowners with the Managed Forest Tax Incentive Program, which allows landowners with 4 hectares to be eligible for a tax break.



INDICATORS	2003-2007	2008-2012*	2013-2017*	ECCC GUIDELINE**	INDICATOR DESCRIPTION
% Forest Cover	37.61 A	35.72 A	34.98 B	30 B	Forest cover is the percentage of the watershed that is forested. Watersheds should contain at least 30% forest cover to sustain native flora and fauna (ECCC, 2013).
% Forest Interior	15.79 A	13 A	12.39 A	10 B	Forest interior is the remaining portion of a woodlot when a 100 metre buffer is removed. Forest interior provides native species with undisturbed habitat.
% Riparian Zone Forested	43.75 C	40.69 C	39.42 C	50 B	Percent riparian zone forested is a measure of the amount of forest cover within a 30 m riparian/buffer zone adjacent to all open watercourses. Riparian zones protect water quality and provide important ecological services, habitat and movement corridors for wildlife.

*Data based on 2015 colour air photography. **ECCC Guideline—Environment Canada guideline based on “How Much Habitat is Enough?” (2013). Grades based on Conservation Ontario standards (2017).



A **WETLAND
CONDITIONS:
EXCELLENT**

**TREND:
NO TREND**



GRADING CHART:

A: EXCELLENT

B: GOOD

C: FAIR

D: POOR

F: VERY POOR

**INSUFFICIENT
DATA**


WETLAND CONDITIONS

Wetlands are an important part of ecological function within a watershed. They provide many ecosystem services including: improving water quality by filtering runoff, assisting with flood control by storing water, and maintaining hydrological function during dry periods. Wetlands are also home to many rare species of flora and fauna.

Wetland cover was calculated using up-to-date aerial photography and applying Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and analysis techniques. Grey Sauble staff are constantly working to improve wetland information on a local scale.

Coverage in this watershed is excellent when compared to Environment and Climate Change Canada's habitat recommendations. Pottawatomi River watershed currently has 18% wetland cover, with the recommended coverage being 10%. It is important to protect these wetlands as it is extremely difficult to get them back once they are gone.

There are many threats to wetlands in Southern Ontario, including land conversion for development, drainage for agriculture and invasive species such as Phragmites. Organizations such as Ducks Unlimited Canada, ALUS Grey Bruce and GSCA are interested in working with landowners to protect wetland features, deliver restoration efforts, and create new wetland habitat.



INDICATORS	2013-2017*	ECCC GUIDELINE**	INDICATOR DESCRIPTION
Wetland Cover (%)	18.28 A	10 B	Percent wetland cover is the percentage of the watershed that is in wetland cover. Wetlands include swamps (treed and thicket), bogs, fens and marshes.

*Data based on 2015 colour air photography. **ECCC Guideline—Environment Canada guideline based on “How Much Habitat is Enough?” (2013) Grades based on Conservation Ontario standards (2017).



**GROUNDWATER
INFORMATION:**
INSUFFICIENT
DATA

TREND:
N/A



GRADING CHART:

A: EXCELLENT

B: GOOD

C: FAIR

D: POOR

F: VERY POOR

**INSUFFICIENT
DATA**

GROUNDWATER

Groundwater is water that is stored in bedrock fractures or between sand/gravel layers in aquifers. Through the Provincial Groundwater Monitoring Network (PGMN) partnership with the Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks, GSCA monitors water levels and water quality in 10 wells annually within the Grey Sauble watershed. However, there are no PGMN wells in Pottawatomi River watershed.

Surficial Geology and Soils

The Pottawatomi River flows from the southern parts of the Township of Georgian Bluffs in a northeasterly direction into the west side of Owen Sound and out to the harbour. The geology of the Pottawatomi River watershed is primarily comprised of permeable sand, underlain by soft clay and rock. Beneath these soil layers are bedrock formations, namely of the limestone Manitoulin formation and the Queenston shale formation.

Drinking Water Source Protection

There is only one drinking water system in this watershed, the Pottawatomi Village Water Treatment Plant. It is comprised of two bedrock wells (one designated as a backup well), which are classified as Groundwater Under Direct Influence of Surface Water (GUDI). Under the direct influence of surface water means the groundwater source is located near a surface water source such as a lake or river and receives surface water recharge. Due to the influence of surface water, the groundwater source is considered at risk of contamination from pathogens such as E. coli.

Wellhead Protection Areas (WHPA's)

Around this municipal well system is a Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA). This protection area highlights the time it takes for contaminants to reach drinking water, so it is important to monitor potential threats in this area.


WHPA-A: 100 m radius around a municipal well

WHPA-B: Area where water can flow to the well in 2 years

WHPA-C: Area where water can flow to the well in 5 years

WHPA-D: Area where water can flow to the well is less than 25 years and not within WHPAs A, B or C

WHPA-E: Can only apply to GUDI wells, as it is the 2-hour time of travel within surface water that influences the well



ACTIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT

On the Shore

- Leave a minimum of 3 feet of native vegetation in place. Having a vegetated buffer helps to filter runoff, prevent erosion, maintain water levels, and deter waterfowl.
- Minimize fertilizer use to prevent excess nutrients from entering the lake.
- Learn how to identify and control invasive species.
- Regularly service your septic system.
- Decommission unused wells to prevent contaminants from entering groundwater.

On the Farm

- Improve water quality and habitat by fencing livestock out of streams.
- Maintain a vegetated buffer between crop land and waterways.
- Upgrade manure storage and barn eavestroughing to divert clean water.
- Reduce soil erosion through no-till, residue management and cover crops.
- Plant windbreaks to protect your soils.
- Reduce nutrient loss by implementing a nutrient management plan.
- Conserve water and minimize pesticide use.

In Town

- Leave a minimum of 3 feet of native vegetation along creeks and lakes. Plant native species to protect the shoreline and create habitat.
- Conserve water indoors and collect water outdoors using a rain barrel.
- Increase your land permeability by using rain gardens, mulch or permeable pavement.
- Minimize fertilizer use to prevent excess nutrients from entering streams.
- Dispose of chemicals properly and do not pour harmful substances down the drain as these outlet to local waterways.

For Municipalities and other Agencies

- Work together with GSCA on consistent planning regulations and adoption of bylaws that will protect watercourses, wetlands, and vegetated riparian buffers.
- Adopt your own environmental sustainability initiatives and community grants.
- Municipalities, developers and GSCA staff work together on adoption of Low
- Impact Development (LID) practices and promote natural designs (bio-swales, infiltration trenches, permeable pavement) and stormwater retrofits.
- Secure environmentally significant properties, specifically wetlands, shorelands and properties that will connect natural features.
- Ensure appropriate approvals and/or permits are obtained so that the approval authority can monitor for implementation of approval conditions.



ADDITIONAL SURFACE WATER QUALITY

In addition to the parameters used to grade the surface water quality section, a suite of other chemical parameters is tested on water samples, including: nitrate, chloride and total suspended solids. Nitrates may be present in water due to decay of plant or animal material, agricultural fertilizers, domestic sewage, or treated wastewater contamination, and geological formations containing soluble nitrogen compounds. The allowable limit for the protection of aquatic life is 550 mg/L short term, or 13 mg/L long term (CCME, 2012). The results shown in the above table indicate that nitrate concentrations are far below the allowable limit and have remained consistent over 15 years.

Chloride occurs naturally in the environment in mineral deposits and therefore many surface water and groundwater sources are naturally saline. However, chloride may be added to surface water through anthropogenic sources such as: salting of roads, agricultural or industrial fertilizers and sewage treatment. The allowable limit for chlorides in freshwater is 640 mg/L short term and 120 mg/L long term (CCME, 2011). The results shown in the above table indicate that chloride concentrations are below the long-term allowable limit and have remained consistent over 15 years.

Total suspended solids (TSS) in healthy streams have levels that show less than a 25 mg/L increase over background levels for short-term events and less than a 5 mg/L increase over longer term exposures (CCME, 2002). Suspended matter consists of silt, clay, fine particles of organic and inorganic matter, soluble organic compounds, plankton, and other microscopic organisms. The amount and type of suspended solids in surface water directly relates to the turbidity, or clarity of the water (CCME, 2002). TSS results have stayed consistent over the last 10 years but levels may exceed the CCME guideline for long term exposure.

CHEMICAL PARAMETERS	2003-2007	2008-2012	2013-2017
Nitrate (mg/L)*:	0.75 (n=40)	0.74 (n=36)	0.85 (n=40)
Chloride (mg/L)*:	42.35 (n=40)	41.63 (n=36)	36.63 (n=40)
Particulate residue (mg/L)*:	10.98 (n=40)	5.48 (n=36)	5.88 (n=40)

Additional Benthic Scoring:

A benthic index is a way to convert biological data into a measure of water quality. The BioMAP Index is a more holistic index than Hilsenhoff Family Biotic Index (FBI) and may provide further insights into the benthic invertebrate community and surface water quality. The BioMAP Index requires the identification to the lowest practical level (genus or species) measures water quality based on the presence of sensitive species at the site. All species are ranked based on their sensitivity values and the average of the top 25% is used to determine the grade.


BioMAP attempts to classify watersheds as impaired, unimpaired or in transition based on the size of the watercourse: creek <4 m, stream 4-16 m, river 16-64 m. These classifications and how they relate to the report card grading scores can be found on Page 15. The BioMAP Index is not commonly used by other Conservation Authorities due to the added identification requirements and the grading system used for the watersheds is unique to GSCA.

	2003-2007	2008-2012	2013-2017	GUIDELINE
Benthic Score BioMAP*(Qualitative)	3.24 B (n=3)	3.19 B (n=3)	3.00 C (n=1)	>3.0 B Target Only

Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment. (2012). Nitrate Fact Sheet. Retrieved online from, <http://ceqg-rcqe.ccme.ca/download/en/197/>
Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment. (2011). Chloride Fact Sheet. Retrieved online from, <http://ceqg-rcqe.ccme.ca/download/en/337/>
Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment. (2002). Total Particulate Matter. Retrieved online from, <http://ceqg-rcqe.ccme.ca/download/en/217/>
Griffiths, R. (1999). BioMAP: Bioassessment of Water Quality. Niagara College: Canada: The Centre for Environmental Training.

ADDITIONAL WATERSHED FEATURES

LAND PERMEABILITY



12.24 km
of watercourses

7.29%

of this watershed is regulated under the Niagara Escarpment Commission.

19 TREE PLANTING PROJECTS

Have been completed in this watershed



Rare Species

Butternut, American, Hart's Tongue Fern, Eastern Wood-pewee, Wood Thrush



Invasive Species

Common Reed, Japanese Knotweed, Invasive Honeysuckle, Japanese Barberry, Common Buckthorn, Tufted (cow) Vetch, Beech Scale Insect, Scotch Pine, Wild Chervil, Garlic Mustard,



Fish Species

Blacknose Dace, Brook Stickleback, Brook Trout, Brown Trout, Central Mudminnow, Creek Chub, Finescale Dace, Longnose Dace, Mottled Sculpin, Northern Redbelly Dace, Pearl Dace, Slimy Sculpin, White Sucker



Potential Stressors

Quarry activities, agricultural run-off, failing septic systems



GSCA has completed 19 tree planting projects in the Pottawatomi River watershed resulting in approximately 16 hectares of new forests. 5.83 hectares of these treed lands were established along watercourses, creating valuable riparian areas that can improve water quality, especially when combined with livestock restriction fencing.

REFERENCES FOR HEALTH REVIEW GRADING

The below tables were developed by Conservation Ontario and the Watershed Report Card Working Group. The exception to the water quality table is the column representing BioMAP, which was developed by GSCA. These tables show how the grades were determined for each category. Points are awarded per category based on the grade and the final grade is based on an average of all points.




Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	E.coli (#/100 mL)	Benthic	Benthic Invertebrates (BioMAP)	Point Score	Grade	Overall Surface Water Quality Grade	
						Final Points	Final Grade
<0.020	0-3	0.00-4.25	Creek (<4m) 4.0 Stream (4-16m) >3.4 River (16-64m) >3.0	5	A	>4.4	A
0.020-0.030	31-100	4.26-5.00	Creek (<4m) >3.4 Stream (4-16m) >3.0 River (16-64m) >2.4	4	B	3.5-4.4	B
0.031-0.060	101-300	5.01-5.75	Creek (<4m) 3.4-3.2 Stream (4-16m) 3.0-2.6 River (16-64m) 2.4-2.0	3	C	2.5-3.4	C
0.061-0.180	301-1000	5.76-6.50	Creek (<4m) <3.2 Stream (4-16m) <2.6 River (16-64m) <2.0	2	D	1.5-2.4	D
>0.180	>1000	6.51-10.00	Creek (<4m) <2.6 Stream (4-16m) <2.0 River (16-64m) <1.5	1	F	<1.5	F



% Forest Cover	% Interior Forest	% Riparian Forest	Point Score	Grade	Overall Forest Conditions	
					Final Points	Final Grade
>35.0	>11.5	>57.5	5	A	>4.4	A
25.1-35.0	8.6-11.5	42.6-57.5	4	B	3.5-4.4	B
15.1-25.0	5.6-8.5	27.5-42.5	3	C	2.5-3.4	C
5.0-15.0	2.5-5.5	12.5-27.5	2	D	1.5-2.4	D
<5.0	<2.5	<12.5	1	F	<1.5	F



Grade	% Wetland Cover
A	>11.5
B	8.6-11.5
C	5.6-8.5
D	2.5-5.5
F	<2.5



What is a Conservation Authority?

Conservation authorities are local agencies that operate at a watershed-scale to protect, manage, and conserve natural resources and share an appreciation of the environment with others. Through partnerships in communities across Ontario, conservation authorities are able to help protect people and property from natural hazards like flooding and erosion and address specific environmental challenges we face locally.



GSCA is one of 36 Conservation Authorities Across Ontario

Over 13 million people, approximately 95% of Ontario's population live in areas that are managed by conservation authorities (CAs).

Guided by the Conservation Authorities Act of 1946, which was recently updated in 2017, Ontario's CAs are charged with the responsibility of "ensuring the conservation, restoration, development and management of Ontario's natural resources through programs that balance human, environmental and economic needs."

Member of



**Conservation
ONTARIO**
Natural Champions

Grey Sauble Conservation Authority (GSCA) is not responsible for, and cannot guarantee, the accuracy of all the information contained within the maps. All of the included mapping is made available "AS IS", "AS AVAILABLE", and "WITH ALL FAULTS" without representations or warranties of any kind, either express or implied, as to the accuracy, completeness, reliability, currency, merchantability, fitness for purpose, title or otherwise. The entire risk as to the results and performance of the Map Products is assumed by the user. Produced by GSCA with Data supplied under License by Members of the Ontario Geospatial Data Exchange. Includes Material [2021] of the © Queen's Printer for Ontario and its licensors. All rights reserved. May not be reproduced without permission. THIS IS NOT A PLAN OF SURVEY.



THANK YOU

To all the landowners, community groups, schools,
businesses, municipalities and other government
agencies who value watershed health and support
our efforts to monitor and protect it!

